

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,341	09/25/2003	Chih-Wei Chen	6600		
7590 10/25/2004			EXAMINER		
CHIH-WEI CHEN			GRAHAM, GARY K		
P.O. BOX 697 FENG-YUAN	CITY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAICHUNG H			1744		
TAIWAN 420, R.O.C.			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/669,341	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary K Graham	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repilif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receivau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/669,341

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 2-3, "of a windshield of an windshield" appears improper. In lines 3-5, defining that the blade is pivoted to the wiper arm by a rivet and that the rod is rotatably connected to the wiper arm appears confusing as both are not separately mounted to the arm. It appears the blade should be defined as mounted to the wiper arm via the articulation rod, which is mounted to the arm by the rivet. In line 7, use of "wiper blade closing to said windshield" appears vague. It is not clear what the blade is closing. In line 9, it appears "a long" should be ---along--.

In claim 2, line 4, defining that the rod is slidably connecting to the top of the wiper arm does not appear proper. There is no sliding of the rod relative to the wiper arm. Sliding implies that there is relative movement while frictional contact occurs. There is no frictional contact between the rod and the wiper arm. It would appear more appropriate to define the connection as hinged. In line 6, use of "wiper blade closing to said windshield" appears vague.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,341

Art Unit: 1744

In claim 3, line 1, it appears "1" should be ---2--- since it is in claim 2 that the V-shaped elastic member is set forth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by German patent 2,305,239.

The German patent discloses the invention as is claimed, including a wiper arm (6) for pivoting to a bottom (3) of a windshield (4), a wiper blade (2) pivoted to a top of the wiper arm via an articulation rod (7) attached to said arm by rivet (8). Said rod has a sloped "underside" (10) and a plane "underside" (9). A blade rubber (fig.6) is disposed on a side of the blade (2) directed toward the windshield (4). The articulation rod enables different wiping tracks (16,17).

Allowable Subject Matter

It appear that claims 2 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1744

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

GKG 09 July 2004